Exam Linear Algebra: 14 January 2019 Full solutions

First Year Math Team

Department of Mathematics Aalborg University (AAU)

2019

Problem 1 & 2

NB: All page numbers refer to the book compiled by O. Geil, "Elementary Linear Algebra".

Problem 1)

- a) By the Definition of the matrix product on page 97, the product AB of a (3×4) matrix A with a (4×2) matrix B gives a (3×2) matrix.
- b) By the same definition it follows that the c_{12} entry stems from the dot product of the second column of B with the first row vector of A, that is: $c_{12} = (3 \cdot 2) + (0 \cdot 3) + (1 \cdot -1) + (-1 \cdot 0) = 5$

Problem 2)

a) Apply cofactor expansion (theorem 3.1 on page 203) over for instance the last column of the matrix. Then its determinant is found as:

$$c \cdot \det \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ -8 & -1 \end{bmatrix} - 0 + 3 \cdot \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

= c((3 \cdot -1) - (-8 \cdot 1)) + 3((1 \cdot 1) - (3 \cdot 2))
= 5c - 15 = -10

b) A square matrix is not invertible when its determinant is equal to 0 (Theorem 3.4 on page 214). From the result above we see that the determinant equals 5c - 15, which is equal to 0 for c = 3.

See the rules of theorem 3.3 on page 212,



thereby,

Put the system in augmented matrix form, and bring this in reduced echelon form (see "Procedure for Solving a System of Linear Equations" the blue box on page 37):

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & -1 & | & 1 \\ 3 & 1 & -1 & | & 8 \\ 2 & 2 & 5 & | & -13 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_2 = r_2 - 3r_1}_{r_3 = r_3 - 2r_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & -1 & | & 1 \\ 0 & -11 & 2 & | & 5 \\ 0 & -6 & 7 & | & -15 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_2 = 6r_2 - 11r_3}_{r_3 = r_3 - 2r_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & -1 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -6 & 7 & | & -15 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_2 = -r_2/65} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & -1 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & -3 \\ 0 & -6 & 7 & | & -15 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_3 = -r_3/6} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & -1 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & -3 \\ 0 & -6 & 7 & | & -15 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_3 = -r_3/6} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & -1 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & -1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_3 = -r_3/6} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & -1 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & -1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_1 = r_1 - 4r_2 + r_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Unique solution $x_1 = 2, x_2 = -1, x_3 = -3$.

Put the system in augmented matrix form, and bring it in reduced echelon form (see "Procedure for Solving a System of Linear Equations" the blue box on page 37):

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 & | & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & r & | & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & | & 8 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_2 = r_2 - r_1} r_3 = r_3 - 3r_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 4 & r - 2 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 5 & -5 & | & 5 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_2 = r_3 / 5} r_3 = r_2$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 4 & r - 2 & | & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_1 = r_1 + r_3} r_3 = r_3 - 4r_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & | & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & | & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & r + 2 & | & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

From the final matrix we observe that the system is inconsistent for r = -2 as in that case we have a zero row on the left of the vertical augmentation line while a nonzero entry on the right.

Note: For r = -2 the final matrix is in reduced echelon form; while for $r \neq -2$ we should divide the third row by r + 2, and perform $r_2 = r_2 + r_3$ and $r_1 = r_1 - r_3$ to get the matrix in reduced echelon form.

- a) The standard matrix of S is (theorem 2.9 on page 174): $[S(\mathbf{e_1}) \ S(\mathbf{e_2})] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$
- b) Composite transformation $ST : R^2 \to R^2$ states first apply T and then S. By theorem 2.12 on page 186 the standard matrix of ST is found as the matrix product A_SA_T with A_T the standard matrix of T and A_S the standard matrix of S.

The standard matrix of T is $[T(e_1) \ T(e_2)] = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and thereby

$$A_{\mathcal{S}}A_{\mathcal{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 17 & 14 \end{bmatrix}$$

c) The standard matrix of the inverse transform of T equals the inverse of standard matrix of T (see theorem 2.13 on page 187). For an invertible 2×2 matrix $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ its inverse is $\frac{1}{ad-bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$ (see the boxed result on page 200) so the inverse of the standard matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 5 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ of T is: $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -4 \\ -1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$

The eigenvalues of a square matrix A are the values of λ that satisfy $det(A - \lambda I_n) = 0$, see the boxed result on page 302.

Hence we find the eigenvalues of the matrix by that:

$$det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ -1 & 5 \end{bmatrix} - \lambda I_2 = det \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda & 4 \\ -1 & 5 - \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= (1 - \lambda)(5 - \lambda) - (-1 \cdot 4)$$
$$= \lambda^2 - 6\lambda + 9$$
$$= (\lambda - 3)^2,$$

which is zero for $\lambda = 3$ with multiplicity 2 (for the definition of multiplicity see page 305).

- a) A straightforward strategy is to calculate $A\mathbf{v}_1, A\mathbf{v}_2, A\mathbf{v}_3, A\mathbf{v}_4$ and $A\mathbf{v}_5$ and to notice that $A\mathbf{v}_1 = 3\mathbf{v}_1, A\mathbf{v}_4 = 3\mathbf{v}_4, A\mathbf{v}_5 = 3\mathbf{v}_5$, while $A\mathbf{v}_2$ and $A\mathbf{v}_3$ are not fixed multiples of respectively, \mathbf{v}_2 and \mathbf{v}_3 . So $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_4$ and \mathbf{v}_5 are eigenvectors while \mathbf{v}_2 and \mathbf{v}_3 are not.
- b) From the characteristic polynomial (see page 302 for the definition and its significance) of A it is immediately clear that is only real eigenvalue is $\lambda = 3$.
- c) From the characteristic polynomial we notice that there are complex eigenvalues, therefore the matrix is not diagonalizable (see the blue box on page 319, "Test for a Diagonalizable Matrix ...")

Start from the definition of the matrix representation of ${\cal T}$ with respect to β om page 277, then,

$$[T]_{\beta} = \left[[T(\mathbf{b}_1)]_{\beta} [T(\mathbf{b}_2)]_{\beta} [T(\mathbf{b}_3)]_{\beta} \right]$$
$$= \left[B^{-1}T(\mathbf{b}_1) B^{-1}T(\mathbf{b}_2) B^{-1}T(\mathbf{b}_3) \right]$$
$$= B^{-1} \left[T(\mathbf{b}_1) T(\mathbf{b}_2) T(\mathbf{b}_3) \right]$$

with

$$B = [\mathbf{b}_1 \ \mathbf{b}_2 \ \mathbf{b}_3] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 & -2 \\ -2 & -2 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

while we obtain $T(\mathbf{b}_1), T(\mathbf{b}_2), T(\mathbf{b}_3)$ from the transformation rule

$$T\left(\begin{bmatrix}x_1\\x_2\\x_3\end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix}x_1+x_2\\x_1-x_3\\2x_1\end{bmatrix} \implies T(\mathbf{b}_1) = \begin{bmatrix}0\\3\\2\end{bmatrix}; T(\mathbf{b}_2) = \begin{bmatrix}1\\3\\2\end{bmatrix}; T(\mathbf{b}_3) = \begin{bmatrix}1\\5\\4\end{bmatrix}$$

Now we can compute $B^{-1} \Big[T(\mathbf{b}_1) T(\mathbf{b}_2) T(\mathbf{b}_3) \Big]$ for instance by applying the algorithm for computing $A^{-1}B$ stated on page 139, that is ...

... we augment B with the matrix $[T(\mathbf{b}_1) \ T(\mathbf{b}_2) \ T(\mathbf{b}_3)]$ to get $[B \mid T(\mathbf{b}_1) \ T(\mathbf{b}_2) \ T(\mathbf{b}_3)]$

and bring it by Gausssian elimination steps into the form

 $[I_3|B^{-1}[T(\mathbf{b}_1) T(\mathbf{b}_2) T(\mathbf{b}_3)]].$

as shown below:

$$\begin{bmatrix} B \mid T(\mathbf{b}_{1}) \ T(\mathbf{b}_{2}) \ T(\mathbf{b}_{3}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -2 & 3 & 3 & 5 \\ -2 & -2 & -3 & 2 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_{2}=r_{2}+r_{1}}_{r_{3}=r_{3}+2r_{2}}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix} r_{1}=r_{1}-r_{2}+2r_{3}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & | & -7 & -11 & -13 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{3} \mid [T]_{\beta} \end{bmatrix}$$

By the Gram-Scmidt procedure (Theorem 6.6 on page 378) an orthogonal basis $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ is found as

$$\mathbf{v}_{1} = \mathbf{u}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \ 0 - 1 \ 0 \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{2} = \mathbf{u}_{2} - \frac{\mathbf{u}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{1}}{\mathbf{v}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{1}} \mathbf{v}_{1}$$

$$= \mathbf{u}_{2} - \frac{(1 \cdot 1) + (1 \cdot 0) + (-3 \cdot -1) + (1 \cdot 0)}{(1 \cdot 1) + (0 \cdot 0) + (-1 \cdot -1) + (0 \cdot 0)} \mathbf{v}_{1}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - 2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{3} = \mathbf{u}_{3} - \frac{\mathbf{u}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{1}}{\mathbf{v}_{1} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{1}} \mathbf{v}_{1} - \frac{\mathbf{u}_{3} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{2}}{\mathbf{v}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{2}} \mathbf{v}_{2}$$

$$= \mathbf{u}_{3} - \frac{-8}{2} \mathbf{v}_{1} - \frac{-8}{4} \mathbf{v}_{2} = \mathbf{u}_{3} + 4\mathbf{v}_{1} + 2\mathbf{v}_{2}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 8 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 4 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + 2 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

a) Notice that $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2\}$ is an orthonormal basis for W as $||\mathbf{v}_1|| = ||\mathbf{v}_2|| = 1$ and $\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_2 = 0$. Then by Theorem 6.7 on page 392 we find the orthogonal projection \mathbf{w} of \mathbf{u} on W as

$$\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}_1)\mathbf{v}_1 + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}_2)\mathbf{v}_2$$
$$= 3\mathbf{v}_1 + 3\mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\2\\3\\1 \end{bmatrix}$$

 b) The distance from u to W is ||z|| (see Theorem 6.7 as well as the blue box on page 397)

$$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 4\\1\\3\\-1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 2\\2\\3\\1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\-1\\0\\-2 \end{bmatrix}; ||\mathbf{z}|| = \sqrt{2^2 + (-1)^2 + 0^2 + (-2)^2} = 3$$

c) For any subspace W of R^n : dim $W + \dim W^{\perp} = n$ (see boxed result on page 393). So here: dim $W^{\perp} = 4 - 2 = 2$

It is stated that v_1, v_2 and v_3 are in \mathbb{R}^3 and that:

- (1) $\{v_1, v_2\}$ is linearly independent,
- (2) $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ is not

which implies that \mathbf{v}_3 is a linear combination of \mathbf{v}_1 and \mathbf{v}_2 , which equivalently can be stated as that \mathbf{v}_3 is in the span of $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2\}$. So a basis for W is given by $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2\}$ and thereby dim W = 2 and the subspace of W can be described as a 2- dimensional plane through the origin of R^3 .

Observe that A is a square 3×3 matrix. Now (1) and (2) immediately imply that rank A is 2 (as rank A is the number of linear independent colums in A), which is not equal to the number of columns (i.e. A has not a full rank) and therefore A is not invertible and equivalently has a determinant equal to zero (see theorem 2.6 on page 138).

Hence from the given statements the only statement that is correct, is that det(A) = 0.

- a) $\{\textbf{v}_1, \textbf{v}_2\}$ is not linear independent as $\textbf{v}_2 = 3\textbf{v}_1$
 - $\{v_1, v_3\}$ is linear independent as v_3 is not a fixed multiple of v_1
 - $\{v_1\}$ is linear independent (see the definition of linear independence on page 75/76)
 - {v₁, v₃, v₄, v₅} can not be linear independent as each v_i ∈ R³, so only a maximum number of 3 of those vectors can be linear independent (see theorem 4.6 page 246)
 - $\{v_1,v_2,v_3,v_4,v_5\}$ can not be linear independent for the same reason as stated immediately above
 - to check if $\{v_1,v_3,v_4\}$ is linear independent we put these vectors as column vectors in a matrix and find its reduced echelon form. This turns out to be the the identity matrix, so $\{v_1,v_2,v_3\}$ is linear independent
- b) To span R^3 , the set needs to contain 3 or more vectors of which 3 are linear independent (see e.g. the boxed result on page 244). $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ and $\{v_1, v_2, v_4\}$ do not contain 3 linear independent vectors as $v_2 = 3v_1$, while $\{v_1, v_3, v_4\}$ does contain 3 linear independent vectors as we have verified in (a). So of the given sets the only set that spans R^3 is $\{v_1, v_3, v_4\}$

With
$$t = \pi/4$$
 and $A = \begin{bmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t \\ \sin t & \cos t \end{bmatrix}$ then

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} & -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} \\ \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} & \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
Hence with $\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

$$AA\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} & -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} \\ \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} & \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} & -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} \\ \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} & \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} & -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} \\ \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} & \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$